

Psychoacoustic analysis of pneumatic switching valve noise

Waerder, Maximilian

Murrenhoff, Hubertus Uszynski, Olaf









Results of Regular noise analysis of pneumatic standard components











- A-weighted sound pressure levels (SPL) within regulatory limits
- Noise still described as unpleasant
- Psychoacoustic measures reinforce the subjective perception



Analysis focused on **psychoacoustic noise** emission of pneumatic **valves** and **exhaust ports**







1	Fundamentals of Psychoacoustics
2	Test Bench Setup & Measurement Results
3	Sharpness Model Adaptions
4	Conclusion & Outlook





Acoustics (A) vs. Psychoacoustics (PA)



Sources: DIN 45631, 1991; DIN 226, 2006; Zwicker, 2013



11:FK

Loudness

Sharpness

PA Parameters – Loudness & Sharpness

- A-Weighting only rough estimation of human hearing perception
- Loudness is a more advanced model based on hearing experiments



- Sharpness objectifies sounds described as squealing or jarring,
 - e.g. vacuum cleaner
- Mainly influenced by spectral envelope and center frequency of spectrum



Sources: DIN 45631, 1991







1 Fundamentals of Psychoacoustics

2 Test Bench Setup & Measurement Results

- 3 Sharpness Model Adaptions
- 4 Conclusion & Outlook





















Sources: DIN ISO 3744, 2006





Measurement Results - Stationary



- SPL increases with inlet pressures due to higher velocities (40 to 65 dB)
- Loudness
 - Logarithmic scale offers intuitive interpretation of human perception
 - Distance of appx. 2 corresponds to a doubling
- Sharpness
 - No significant trend, yet it shows a maximum at transition to super critical flow
 - Minimum at highest volume flow



Remarks









- Transient measurements show a peak of loudness when the movement of the actuator starts
- After acceleration, loudness converges to a constant level until movement stops
- Sharpness leads to two impressing conclusions
 - 1. Changes during stationary movement
 - 2. Level during rest represents its maximum

More detailed analysis of the sharpness model necessary







Model Sensitivity Analysis







1	Fundamentals	of Psychoacoustics	
---	--------------	--------------------	--

2 Test Bench Setup & Measurement Results

3 Sharpness Model Adaptions

4 Conclusion & Outlook



Model Adaption – Frequency Scaling



minimum's value

ifK





Definition of loudness factor

ifK

$$a_A(N) = \frac{N}{\ln(0.05 \cdot N + 1)}$$

 Discontinuity at 0 sone evaluated referring to l'Hospital

$$\lim_{N \to 0} a_A(N) = \frac{\lim_{N \to 0} f'}{\lim_{N \to 0} g'} = 20$$

$$g_A(z) = 0.078 \frac{e^{0.171 \cdot z}}{z} \frac{\int_{z=0}^{z=24} N'(z) dz}{\ln(0.05 \cdot \int_{z=0}^{z=24} N'(z) dz + 1)}$$

- Functional adaption
 - Artificial linear reduction of silent noise loudness factor
 - Polynomial approximation for continuous shape





Validation of Adapted Model





1	Fundamentals of Psychoacoustics
2	Test Bench Setup & Measurement Results
3	Sharpness Model Adaptions

4 Conclusion & Outlook



Conclusion & Outlook

- Summary
 - Motivation of psychoacoustics -Illustration of the visualization benefits for human perception
 - Presentation and discussion of test bench and measurement design
 - Analysis of loudness and sharpness results focusing on physical reasonableness
 - Adaption of sharpness model with validation of transient exhaust noise measurements
- Outlook
 - Further psychoacoustic evaluation of pneumatic noise
 - Cooperation with medical/acoustic research for the evaluation of sharpness model







Thank you for your attention!

Contact:

Maximilian Waerder maximilian.waerder@ifas.rwth-aachen.de

